

3
CHUCK

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION No. 3445 OF 2003

PETITIONER:

Ramesh Ahuja, son of late C.D. Ahuja,
aged about 36 years, occupation:
agriculturist, resident of Rajkishore
Nagar, Bilaspur (C.G.).

Vs.

RESPONDENTS :

P. R. No. 3045/03
Presented by Shri M.V. Parande
dated 30.09.03



1. Union of India: Through Secretary,
Home Department, New Delhi.

2. State of Chhattisgarh: Through
Chief Secretary, D.K. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

3. State of Chhattisgarh, Through
Secretary, Home Department, D.K. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

4. Director General of Police of
Chhattisgarh State, D.K. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.).

5. Inspector General of Police,
Bilaspur Range, Bilaspur (C.G.).

6. Shivram Prasad Kalluri, Superintendent
of Police, Bilaspur District, Bilaspur (CG)

7. Officer-in-charge of Police Station,
Sarkanda, Bilaspur (C.G.).

8. Subhash Agrawal, son of Fateh Chand
Agrawal, resident of Sadar Bazar,
Bilaspur (C.G.).

News App.
Smt. S. A. G.
30/9
RECEIVED COPY.
BILASPUR
CLERK TO

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3445/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>19.01.2004</u> Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranbir Singh Marhas, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents 2 to 7. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking relief to restrain respondents 6 & 8 who are harassing the petitioner and his family members and to provide adequate protection to them, to direct the Officer-In-Charge of Police Station: Sarkanda, Bilaspur, to register the F.I.R. and criminal case against respondents 6 & 8 on the basis of Annexure P-8 filed by the petitioner. It is further prayed that the Police authorities be directed not to implicate the petitioner in a false and frivolous case. As far as respondent No.6 is concerned, he has already been transferred from Bilaspur, therefore, there is no question of any apprehension. As far as question of registering of case is concerned, the petitioner is always at his discretion as alternative ^{or} equally efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner. If the Police is not registering the case on his complaint, the petitioner can approach the concerned Court and file a complaint before the competent authority under Section 200 of the Cr.P.C. and the competent Court can either himself enquire into the complaint as per the provisions of Section 200 of the Cr.P.C. and if the concerned Court thinks fit can send the case for registration and investigation to the concerned Police Station under Section 156 (3) of the Cr.P.C. In view of the above circumstances, since equal	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3445/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner, the petitioner can approach the appropriate Forum. With the above observations the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.3133/2003 and I.A.No.9930/2003 also stand disposed of.	Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge 24/4/2004 since of cost